New distribution records and molecular data for species of Macrogyrodactylus Malmberg, 1957 (Monogenea: Gyrodactylidae) from Clarias gariepinus (Burchell) (Siluriformes: Clariidae) in southern Africa

<u>Marliese Truter^{1, 2}, Aline A Acosta¹, Olaf LF Weyl², Nico J Smit¹</u>

¹ Water Research Group, Unit for Environmental Sciences and Management, North-West University, Private Bag X6001, Potchefstroom, 2520, South Africa ² DSI/NRF Research Chair in Inland Fisheries and Freshwater Ecology, South African Institute for Aquatic Biodiversity, Makhanda, South Africa

Introduction

Of the nine known species in the genus *Macrogyrodactylus* Malmberg, three species; Macrogyrodactylus clarii Gussev, M. congolensis (Prudhoe) and M. karibae (Douëllou et Chishawa) are predominantly known to parasitise the skin and gills of the African sharptooth catfish Clarias gariepinus (Burchell) throughout Africa (Fig. 1). These three species are also well studied with ample publications consisting of species descriptions and elaborative information on their anatomy, pathogenicity and co-occurrence with other monogeneans in various freshwater systems on the continent. Their well-studied host C. gariepinus occur naturally throughout Africa as well as in the northern regions of South Africa and was introduced into the Eastern and Western Cape Provinces



of South Africa from the Zambezi and northern Southern Cape Ichthyofaunal regions. No studies have been conducted on their co-introduced or potential co-invasive parasitic communities in these regions.

This study presents partial data obtained from an extensive metazoan parasitological study on C. gariepinus in southern Africa that includes:

- A summary on the distribution of *Macrogyrodactylus* spp. in Africa;
- Novel distribution records, morphological and molecular data for the genus.

Methods

During the present study 184 individuals of C. gariepinus were collected from various localities in Figure 1. Distribution of Macrogyrodactylus spp. parasitising Clarias gariepinus (Burchell) South Africa and Zambia (Fig. 2) and subjected to a parasitological screening. Monogenea found on for the genus. the fins, skin and gills were cleared in glycerin ammonium picrate for morphological identification and select individuals were fixed in 96% molecular grade ethanol for molecular characterisation of the ITS1-5.8S-ITS2 region and comparison to known species. A literature search was also conducted to construct an overview of the distribution and available knowledge for species of Macrogyrodactylus parasitising C. gariepinus.

Results

Host individuals from five of the sampled localities were infected with any combination of the three species, M. clarii, M. congolensis and M. karibae primarily known from C. gariepinus (Fig. 2). Prevalence, intensity of infestation and co-occurrence of the three species varied across localities with *M. clarii* as the dominant species when in co-occurrence with *M. karibae* or *M. congolensis*.

throughout Africa. Light yellow countries indicate existence of other host and species records



No clinical signs such as greyish-white patches on the skin were observed when intensities of M. congolensis were as high as 109 parasites per individual host.

No infestation with Macrogyrodactylus spp. were found on C. gariepinus in its invasive range in South Africa.



Focus study on Figure areas Of Macrogyrodactylus clarii, M. congolensis and *M. karibae* from *Clarias gariepinus* in Africa.

Morphometrics and molecular characterisation of the ITS1-5.8S-ITS2 region corroborated the identity of all three species. All the sequences obtained grouped in the respective subclades for each species, except for M. congolensis from the Vaal River in South Africa (Fig. 3). This species' position in the subclade in relation to its congeners from Kenya and Senegal are inconclusive.

The literature search yielded a total of 57 accessible publications for all nine species of *Macrogyrodactylus* of which 46 were exclusively on the three species found from C. gariepinus. These publications were grouped into main research focus areas for the genus (Fig. 4).

Figure 2. Map showing the sampling localities in the native and invasive distribution range of Clarias gariepinus (Burchell) in southern Africa. Insert top left: Micrographs of the hamuli and marginal hook of the three species Macrogyrodactylus clarii (A), Macrogyrodactylus congolensis (B) and Macrogyrodactylus karibae (C) found to parasitise C. gariepinus in the present study. Bar graph indicate species presence and prevalence (in color) with intensity (numeral values) at new localities where species of *Macrogyrodactylus* is present.



Conclusions

All records of the three species from the present study represent new distribution records. The first sequence data from South Africa and Zambia are available for the respective species

Despite the success in identification of the three species using a widely used gene region, the resolution on the interspecific relationships with basal clades are low.

Host specificity of *M. clarii*, *M. congolensis* and *M. karibae* is confirmed with 80% of all records of Macrogyrodactylus spp. being from C. gariepinus.

Disparity in knowledge on the true community composition and distribution of the species parasitising C. gariepinus (or even other hosts) is evident with few ecological studies in natural systems throughout the wide distribution range of the host in Africa.

Figure 3. Maximum Likelihood phylogram of the ITS1-5.8S-ITS2 region for species of *Macrogyrodactylus* known from siluriform hosts in Africa. Posterior probabilities and bootstrap support values presented along branch nodes. Sequences obtained in the present study are presented in bold. Branch length reduced two times the scale bar.





Acknowledgements

This work is based on research and researchers supported by the DSI/NRF-SARChI Grant no. 110507 and NRF Project CPRR160429163437, NJ Smit, PI. Opinions, findings, conclusions and recommendations expressed in this publication is that of the authors, and the NRF accepts no liability whatsoever in this regard. We acknowledge use of infrastructure and equipment provided by the NRF SAIAB Research Platforms and the funding channeled through the NFR-SAIAB Institutional Support system. Approval to conduct research for this study was obtained from the NWU Animal Care Research Ethics Committee, ethics number: NWU-00156-18-A5.